## BRENNEKE DECLARATION EXHIBIT D

The Honorable Robert J. Bryan 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 STATE OF WASHINGTON, No. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB 11 Plaintiff, GEO GROUP, INC.'S RESPONSES TO 12 STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF 13 **DOCUMENTS** THE GEO GROUP, INC., 14 Defendant. 15 16 **DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S** SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 17 Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") and 18 the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington (the "Local 19 Rules"), Defendant The GEO Group, Inc. ("GEO") hereby responds and objects to Plaintiff's 20 Requests for Production. As a reminder, certain documents responsive to these requests will require 21 review and clearance by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). Subject 22 to the specific objections below, GEO will produce documents on a rolling basis subject to a 23 reasonable schedule mutually agreed to by the parties or shall be substantially complete with its 24 production 30 days prior to the close of discovery, barring any delays caused by ICE's review of 25 the documents. 26 27 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP Andrea L. D'Ambra ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB 28 1301 Avenue of the Americas GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE - 1 -New York, NY 10019 OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS Telephone: (212) 318-3000

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

## **OBJECTIONS TO DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS**

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "communication" in paragraph 3.3 to include "any conversations, meetings, correspondence, conference, and any other means or manner by which information or opinion is or was communicated to or received from others, whether written, electronic, or oral" to the extent that oral communications are unrecorded in either a written or electronic medium. GEO will only produce documents existing in a tangible medium and will not produce any unrecorded oral communications because it is not possible to do so and is outside the scope of document discovery.

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "document" in paragraph 3.5 as it is contrary to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. GEO defines "document" according to its definition in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)(A). GEO further objects to Plaintiff's definition of "document" to include "messages and/or attachments now only available on backup or archive tapes or disks" as not reasonably accessible, unduly burdensome, and outside of the scope of discovery. GEO further objects to Plaintiff's definition of "document" referring to or invoking Washington State Rules of Evidence to the extent that the Washington State Rules of Evidence purport to impose obligations on GEO beyond the requirements of the Federal Rules of Evidence. GEO therefore objects to Plaintiff's definition of "writings" and "records" to the extent it cites to the Washington State Rules of Evidence ("ER 1001(a)") and not the applicable Federal Rules of Evidence. GEO will comply with any discovery obligations as they exist in the Federal Rules of Evidence.

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "<u>ICE</u>" (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) in paragraph 3.6 as overly broad. GEO defines ICE only to include the agency of the United States Department of Homeland Security, ICE employees, and any other persons or entities acting on behalf of or under the direction, authorization, or control of ICE.

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definitions of "<u>Identify</u>" included in paragraphs 3.7 through 3.10. GEO is required to produce responsive documents, not create documents that identify

- 2 -

2627

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

particular natural persons, entities, documents, or conversations in response to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production.

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "Relevant time period" included in paragraph 3.14 on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO will produce any documents, to the extent they exist and are responsive to the specific Requests stated below, from 2005 to January 5, 2018, the date the State served its first discovery requests on GEO.

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "state the basis" in paragraph 3.15 as inapplicable to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production. GEO is under no obligation to "state the basis" for any of its responses or objections to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production. GEO will respond to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2).

GEO objects to Plaintiff's definition of "You," "Your," and "GEO Group" in paragraph 3.16 as outside the scope of discovery as defined by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) to the extent that the request seeks information relating to persons or entities that are separate and distinct from GEO and over whom GEO exercises no control. GEO further objects to these definitions to the extent that the request includes GEO attorneys and, therefore, improperly seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. In responding to these requests, GEO interprets the terms "You," "Your," and "GEO Group" to refer only to the named party in this action and any entities GEO has the legal right to control.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.1</u> to the extent that Plaintiff seeks production of documents outside of the possession, custody, or control of the named party, GEO, and any entities GEO has the legal right to control.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.3</u> to the extent that Plaintiff seeks information outside of the possession, custody, or control of an entity within the legal right to control of the named party,

- 3 -

27

28

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

GEO. Furthermore, GEO objects to the procedure for claiming privilege as described in Instruction 4.3. GEO will produce a privilege log in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) or in a format mutually agreed to by the parties.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.8</u>, which instructs GEO to produce "all earlier editions or predecessor documents" and, therefore, would require GEO to produce documents outside of the scope of discovery. GEO will only produce documents relevant to the claims and defenses of the parties from 2005 to January 5, 2018, the date the State served its first discovery requests on GEO.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.9</u> as it states a procedure for the production of documents, including the labelling and grouping of documents, as well as providing a key for abbreviations used in all documents, that is unduly burdensome and not required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(E), GEO will produce documents as they are kept in the usual course of business, as they were ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably useable format. Furthermore, GEO objects to Instruction 4.9 that requires "each responsive document or information shall be produced in its entirety and no portion of any document or information shall be edited, cut, masked, redacted or otherwise altered." GEO objects as this may require the production of information subject to privilege, privacy or security restrictions. GEO reserves the right to redact information that is not responsive as well as to redact for privilege. GEO may also produce documents redacted, *inter alia*, to protect the privacy and safety of individuals identified in the documents, detainees, GEO staff, and others.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.12</u> to the extent it requires GEO to take extraordinary measures that would be disproportionately expensive and burdensome to preserve electronic information. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e) and relevant case law, GEO will take reasonable steps to preserve electronically stored information potentially relevant to this matter.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.13</u> as no "Exhibit A" has been attached to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(E), GEO will produce documents

- 4 -

27

28

23

24

25

26

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1	a
2	u
3	2
4	
5	la
6	W
7	S
8	F
9	
10	P
11	a
12	
13	
14	r
15	R
16	
17	b
18	Iı
19	b
20	n
21	d
22	n
23	R
24	
25	C
26	o
$_{27}$	

as TIFF images with extracted text (or OCRed text for redacted documents) or in a reasonably useable format as appropriate. GEO will also adhere to the parameters set forth in its April 27, 2018 letter to the State regarding document production format in the above-captioned matter.

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.14</u> as contrary to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and largely inapplicable to the substance of Plaintiff's Second Request for Production. Without waiver of any rights or other objections, GEO will produce documents responsive to Plaintiff's Second Requests for Production and within its possession, custody, or control in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1).

GEO objects to <u>Instruction 4.15</u> as GEO is not required under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to describe documents or information that may have been destroyed prior to reasonably anticipating litigation in this matter.

## RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:</u> Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 7.

RESPONSE TO NO. 18: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks "all documents . . . that form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 7, where documents sufficient to show the profits and losses for NWDC would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to detail the profits and losses at NWDC does nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO further objects to this Request as outside of the scope of discovery, to the extent that its seeks information regarding GEO's profits or losses, beyond those related to the voluntary work program ("VWP"), as all other profits and losses are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects

- 5 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

28

date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its responses. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will not be producing documents responsive to this Request at this time. Documents concerning GEO's profits or losses are not relevant to this proceeding at this time. Should the court determine that GEO is subject to suit and liable for payment of wages to VWP participants under the Washington Minimum Wage Act and/or a theory of unjust enrichment, GEO will then conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the profits and losses related to the VWP at NWDC created from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.

to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 7 has no defined end

18 19

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:** Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 8.

2021

**RESPONSE TO NO. 19:** GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly

burdensome as it seeks "all documents . . . that form the basis" for GEO's response to

22

Interrogatory No. 8, where documents sufficient to show the amount and basis of GEO's claimed

24

23

offset would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not

25

proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" that form the basis of the

- 6 -

26

claimed offset does nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	οι
2	R
3	W
4	in
5	
6	In
7	re
8	re
9	ste
10	po
11	su
12	do
13	Ja
14	St
15	
16	ba
17	<u>R</u>
18	re
19	<u>R</u>
20	bı
21	In
22	W
23	
24	co
25	di
26	G
27	

outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this
Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the
work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or
mmunities. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as
Interrogatory No. 8 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its
responses. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a
reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper
storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its
possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist, have not already been produced, and
subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged
documents sufficient to show the amount and basis of GEO's claimed offset created from 2005 to
January 5, 2018. In addition, GEO has already produced three responsive documents: GEO-
State 19281, GEO-State 19283, and GEO-State 19286. GEO is withholding documents on the
pasis of the above stated objections.

**EQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:** Please produce all documents that are eferenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 9.

ESPONSE TO NO. 20: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly urdensome as it seeks "all documents . . . that form the basis" for GEO's response to terrogatory No. 9, where documents sufficient to show the total amount ICE paid detainee orkers through GEO for work performed in the NWDC's VWP would be reasonable and omplete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as scovery of "all documents" used to show the total amount ICE paid detainee workers through EO for work performed in the NWDC's VWP does nothing to resolve any issue in the case and

- 7 -

27 STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB 28

GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as it seeks documents subject to contractual, statutory, and regulatory authority requiring ICE review. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist, have not already been produced and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the total amount ICE paid detained workers through GEO for work performed in the NWDC's VWP created from 2005 to January 5, 2018. In addition, GEO has already produced one responsive document GEO-State 19286. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections. **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:** Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 10. RESPONSE TO NO. 21: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks "all documents . . . that form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 10, where documents sufficient to show the total number of detainees

26

Currently the earliest period for which GEO has information related to payments from ICE placed in the detainee trust account for VWP participation is December 2005.

- 8 -

27 STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB 28

GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

discovery of "all documents" used to identify the total number of VWP participants at NWDC does nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. Finally, GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 10 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its responses. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the total ascertainable number of recorded VWP participants at NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22: Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 11.

RESPONSE TO NO. 22: GEO objects to this request as it is unduly burdensome and disproportionate because it requests documents to prove a negative answer. GEO has been very clear with Plaintiff that it does not track the time spent by detainees each day on their assigned tasks. Rather, it tracks whether a detainee participated in the program on a given day, and the task that detainee elected to perform. The time taken by the detainee to complete the task necessarily varies to some extent from day to day and task to task. As the VWP was never intended to pay detainees on an hourly basis, there are no records regarding the exact time spent

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 9 -

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

	ı	ı
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
1		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

by detainees to perform their selected tasks. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 11 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its responses. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show that GEO does not track detainee work hours. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23: Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 12.

RESPONSE TO NO. 23: GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the

RESPONSE TO NO. 23: GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying each and every task and assignment would do nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as Interrogatory No. 12 asks GEO to identify "each and every task/assignment" over a fourteen year (and counting) period that detainees at NWDC performed, and would require GEO to continuously supplement and amend its response without a defined end date. GEO further objects

- 10 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP

ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 318-3000

28

to use of the terms "task" and "assignment" in Interrogatory No. 12 as vague, as each term could apply to specific roles, setting, and physical actions or movements that a detainee may complete as a participant in the VWP. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the tasks available to VWP participants from 2005 to January 5, 2018 where they may have been provided food. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:</u> Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 13.

RESPONSE TO NO. 24: GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying detainees who performed work for GEO at NWDC for which they were paid in food would do nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 13 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its responses. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to use of the terms "task" and "assignment" in Interrogatory No. 13 as vague, as each term could apply to specific roles, setting, and physical actions or movements that a detainee may complete

- 11 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

as a participant in the VWP. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents relating to detainees receiving food from NWDC officers or other NWDC staff in order to build morale from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:</u> Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 14.

RESPONSE TO NO. 25: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks all documents that "form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 14, where documents sufficient to show which VWP tasks ICE can pay NWDC detainees more than a \$1 per day to complete would be reasonable and proportionate. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying which VWP positions detainees can earn more than a \$1 per day would do nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the scope of discovery, as practices at facilities other than the NWDC are not relevant to the claims or defenses in the case. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and

- 12 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 14 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO further objects on to the use of the phrase "earn more than a \$1 per day" in Interrogatory No. 14 as it is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show which VWP tasks ICE can pay NWDC detainees more than a \$1 per day to complete from 2005 to January 5, 2018. In addition, GEO has already produced two responsive documents as GEO-State 012190 and GEO-State 006269. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections, including documents pertaining to policies, procedures, and practices at any facility other than the NWDC. **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:** Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 15. RESPONSE TO NO. 26: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks all documents that "form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 15, where documents sufficient to show which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's kitchen would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's kitchen would do nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB

- 13 -

2728

GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE

OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as it seeks documents related to detainee supervision that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case, which concern work performed as part of the VWP and makes no claim regarding supervision of detainees. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 15 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist, and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's kitchen from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:** Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 16.

RESPONSE TO NO. 27: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks all documents that "form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 16, where documents sufficient to show which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's laundry would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's laundry would do nothing

to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit

- 14 -

27

28

26

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as it seeks documents related to detainee supervision that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case, which concern work performed as part of the VWP and makes no claim regarding supervision of detainees. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 16 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show which GEO employees supervised detainees in NWDC's laundry from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections..

18 19

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:</u> Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 17.

2021

burdensome as it seeks all documents that "form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory

GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly

23

22

No. 17, where documents sufficient to show which GEO employees' main job was to perform

24

janitorial tasks would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not

25

proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of

26

identifying which GEO employees' main job was to perform janitorial tasks would do nothing to

- 15 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

**RESPONSE TO NO. 28:** 

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as it seeks information about GEO employees and staffing that is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case, which concern work performed as part of the Voluntary Work Program and makes no claim regarding work performed by GEO employees. GEO also objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome as Interrogatory No. 17 has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement its responses. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show which GEO employees' main job was to perform janitorial tasks as of January 5, 2018. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections.

20

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:** Please produce all documents that are referenced in, support, or that form the basis of Your response to Interrogatory No. 18.

21 22

23

24

25

26

**RESPONSE TO NO. 29:** GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome as it seeks all documents that "form the basis" for GEO's response to Interrogatory No. 18, where documents sufficient to show VWP tasks and daily shifts for VWP tasks at NWDC would be reasonable and complete. Likewise, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents" used to form the basis of identifying VWP

- 16 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 17 -

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 31:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that the data sought is not
2	reasonably accessible or available. GEO further objects to use of the terms "task," "assignment,"
3	and "position" as vague, as each term could apply to specific roles, setting, and physical actions
4	or movements that a detainee may complete as a participant in the VWP. Finally, this Request is
5	cumulative of other Requests, including, but not limited to, Requests for Production Nos. 22 and
6 7	30. As written, GEO believes there are no documents responsive to this Request.
8	
9	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32: For each of the years 2005 to the present, please produce all documents, reports, and databases that contain aggregated data or information
10	about the hours of detainee work broken down by location of work/ tasks/ assignments/ positions performed by detainee workers in NWDC's VWP.
11	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 32:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that the data sought is not
12	reasonably accessible or available. GEO further objects to use of the terms
13	"work/task/assignment/position" as vague, as the terms themselves are not specific, could reflect
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	a wide variety of actions or activities, and are subjectively defined. Finally, this Request is
16	cumulative of other Requests, including, but not limited to, Requests for Production Nos. 22 and
17	30. As written, GEO believes there are no documents responsive to this Request.
18	
19	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33: Please produce all training materials and documents for training provided to detainee workers for each and every VWP
20	task/assignment/position.
21	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 33:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information
22	outside of the scope of discovery, as the Request is overly broad and unbounded either by time or
23	to the NWDC, which would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional
24	information without a defined end date. Furthermore, GEO objects to the request for all training
25	materials for "each and every task/ assignment/ position" as disproportionate to the needs of the
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	case and vague, as the terms themselves are not specific, could reflect a wide variety of actions or
28	STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP  ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB  Andrea L. D'Ambra  1301 Avenue of the Americae
	GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE - 18 - 1301 Avenue of the Americas OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 318-3000

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

activities, and are subjectively defined. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control and produce, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents of training materials related to the VWP provided to VWP detainee participants at the NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will be withholding documents on the basis of the above objections.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34: Please produce all training materials for GEO** employees regarding the VWP program.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 34:** GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information

outside of the scope of discovery, as the Request is overly broad and unbounded either by time or to the NWDC, which would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO also objects that the Request seeks information not

17 18

program relates to neither. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO

19

will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably

relevant to the claims and defenses of the case, as training GEO employees about the VWP

accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant

22

information within its possession, custody, and control and produce, to the extent they exist and

subject to the protective order entered in this case, relevant, responsive, non-privileged training

24

materials provided to GEO NWDC employees regarding the VWP program from 2005 to January

- 19 -

25

5, 2018.

26

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 318-3000

1 2	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35: For the relevant years, please produce all NWDC Housekeeping Plans.
3	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 35:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that the Request seeks
4	information outside of the scope of discovery, as any housekeeping plans are not relevant to the
5	claims or defenses in this case. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections,
6	GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably
7	accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant
8	information within its possession, custody, and control and produce, to the extent they exist and
9	subject to the protective order entered in this case, relevant, responsive, non-privileged NWDC
10	Housekeeping plans from 2005 to January 5, 2018.
12	
13	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36: For each of the years 2005 to the present, please produce all documents, reports, and databases that contain aggregated data or information
14	about detainee worker compensation for participation in NWDC's VWP.
15	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 36:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is overly broad and
16	unduly burdensome, as it seeks "all documents, reports, and databases that contain information"
17	about detainee compensation for participation in NWDC's VWP, because that would encompass
18	a massive amount of non-relevant information that is co-mingled with information that is actually
19	relevant to the claims and defenses in this case. For example, information about payments to
20	detainees is contained in the NWDC's commissary system, which contains information about the
21	purchasing and provisioning of a multitude of items for sale in the NWDC commissary. This
22 23	information has no bearing on the claims and defenses in this case. GEO objects to this Request
24	to the extent that it seeks that information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work
25	product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or
26	immunities. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it
27	STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
28	ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 318-3000

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control and produce, to the extent they exist, and subject to the protective order entered in this case, relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents sufficient to show detainee compensation for participation in NWDC's VWP from 2005 to January 5, 2018.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:** For the relevant time period, please produce all invoices and supporting documents submitted to ICE for payments related to the VWP.

RESPONSE TO NO. 37: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information related to facilities other than the NWDC, which is outside of the scope of discovery and not relevant to the claims or defenses of this case. GEO also objects to the Request as unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged invoices and supporting documents submitted to ICE for payments related to the NWDC's VWP subject to ICE's review and approval from 2005 to January 5, 2018.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38: For each of the years 2005 to the present, please produce all GEO's financial statements, Profit and Loss statements, budget, and budget to actual analysis on a quarterly or annual basis for each of the years during the relevant time period.

- 21 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

RESPONSE TO NO. 38: GEO objects to this Request as outside of the scope of discovery, as it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO's Profit and Loss statements contain information related to a multitude of other facilities that are not subject to the above captioned litigation and over which the State of Washington has no authority, oversight, or contractual relationship. GEO also objects to this Request as cumulative of other requests, including, but not limited to, Request No. 18. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:</u> To the extent not previously produced, please produce GEO's U.S. Corrections & Detention Division financial statements, Profit and Loss statements, budget, and budget to actual analysis on a quarterly or annual basis for each of the years during the relevant time period.

RESPONSE TO NO. 39: GEO objects to this Request as outside of the scope of discovery, as it seeks documents that are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. Furthermore, GEO objects to this Request as it is not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of GEO's U.S. Corrections & Detention Division's financial statements, Profit and Loss statements, budget, and budget to actual analysis on a quarterly or annual basis do nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without

- 22 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

a defined end date. GEO also objects to this Request as cumulative of other requests, including, but not limited to, Request Nos. 18 and 38. Finally, GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40: To the extent not previously produced, please produce the NWDC's financial statements, Profit and Loss statements, budget, and budget to actual analysis on a quarterly or annual basis from 2005 to present, including all documents that set forth the detailed operating costs of the facility, Voluntary Work Program costs, labor costs, and payroll expenses as well as all details of revenue, contract payments and reimbursements.

RESPONSE TO NO. 40: GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks financial statements or other documents reflecting budgeted expenditures that are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. In addition, this request is objectionable because it is overly broad and unduly burdensome as it seeks budgets, budget to actual analyses which have no bearing on any claim or defense in the case. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO also objects to this Request as cumulative of other requests, including, but not limited to, Request Nos. 18, 38, and 39. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show actual expenditures related

- 23 -

27
28 STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP
ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB
GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE
OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

1	to the VWP at NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to
2	the above stated objections.
3	
4	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41: To the extent not previously produced, please produce all documents that contain financial performance analysis, financial models,
5	financial evaluations, analysis of profits earned, or other assessments of the performance of the NWDC contract(s) with ICE.
6 7	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 41:</b> GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it far exceeds the
8	scope of discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) as it seeks documents that have no bearing on
9	the claims or defenses in this case. To the extent the State seeks information related to its unjust
10	enrichment claim, analyses, models, evaluations and other assessments are not relevant. Only the
11	actual profits or losses related to the NWDC's VWP program would be relevant. GEO has agreed
12 13	to produce those documents, subject to its objections, in response to Request 18. GEO objects to
14	this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege,
15	the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges
16	or immunities. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as
17	it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a
18	defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as cumulative of other Requests seeking
19	similar financial information. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request
20   21	and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.
22	<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:</u> To the extent not previously produced, please produce all documents related to the profit or loss of the NWDC's Voluntary Work
23	Program, including budget, and budget to actual analysis on a quarterly or annual basis
24	from 2005 to the present, and all documents that set forth the detailed operating costs of the Voluntary Work Program, as well as revenues, payments and reimbursements received.
25	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 42:</b> GEO objects to this Request because it is overly broad and unduly
26	hurdancoma ac it caake hudgate hudgat to actual analyses which have no bearing on any claim or
27	burdensome as it seeks budgets, budget to actual analyses which have no bearing on any claim or STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP  NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
28	ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS  Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 318-3000

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

21

22

20

2324

2526

2728

defense in the case. GEO further objects to this request because it seeks all documents related to the profit or loss of the NWDC's Voluntary Work Program. To the extent the State seeks information related to its unjust enrichment claim only the actual profits or losses related to the NWDC's VWP program would be relevant. GEO has agreed to produce those documents, subject to its objections, in response to Request 18. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information, such as Request No. 40. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show the operating costs of the VWP at NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:</u> To the extent not previously produced, please produce all documents that contain financial analysis, financial models, analysis of profits earned, valuation of the work performed, or other assessments of the Voluntary Work Program at the NWDC from 2004 to present.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 43:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks financial projections or other documents reflecting budgeted expenditures that are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO produce documents dating back to 2004—before the relevant time period of this case and to continuously supplement and produce additional

- 25 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information, such as, but not limited to, Requests Nos. 40 and 42. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show GEO's financial analysis of the VWP at NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated objections.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:** To the extent not previously produced, please produce all documents that contain financial analysis, financial models, analysis of profits earned, valuation of the work performed, or other assessments of the Voluntary Work Program within the GEO Group from 2005 to the present.

14

**RESPONSE TO NO. 44:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks financial projections or other documents reflecting budgeted expenditures that are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects on the grounds that this Request seeks information related to facilities other than the NWDC, which is outside of the scope of discovery and not relevant to the claims or defenses of this case. Furthermore, GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information, such as, but not limited to, Requests Nos. 40, 42, and 43. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

- 26 -

26

22

23

24

25

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:</u> For each year from 2005 to the present, please produce a representative commissary price list used by detainees during that year to order items from the commissary.

RESPONSE TO NO. 45: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the scope of discovery, as commissary price lists are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects to the extent that this Request seeks information not within GEO's possession, custody, or control as the NWDC's commissary system is, or has been at times during the relevant period, administered by a third party. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:</u> Please produce all documents that set forth the terms and conditions of the NWDC detainee telephone/communications system, including, without limitation, the contract(s) with vendors.

RESPONSE TO NO. 46: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the scope of discovery, as the NWDC detainee telephone/ communications system is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome the Request has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO also objects to the extent that this Request seeks information not within GEO's possession, custody, or control as the NWDC's detainee telephone/communications system is, or has been at times within the relevant period, administered by a third party. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 27 -

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:</u> For each of the year from 2005 to the present, please produce a representative telephone/communications price list or other documents that set forth the cost of telephone calls and video calls made by detainees.

RESPONSE TO NO. 47: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it seeks information outside of the scope of discovery, as the NWDC detainee telephone/communications system is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO objects to this Request as overly broad and unduly burdensome the Request has no defined end date and requires GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO also objects to the extent that this Request seeks information not within GEO's possession, custody, or control as the NWDC's detainee telephone/communications system is, or has been at times within the relevant period, administered by a third party. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:</u> To the extent not previously produced, please produce the contract(s) originally entered into by the GEO Group's predecessor Correctional Services Corporation.

RESPONSE TO NO. 48: GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that is seeks information outside of the scope of discovery, as contracts entered into by a predecessor contractor are not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. In addition, GEO objects on the basis that the Request is overly broad as it seeks information related to facilities other than the NWDC and outside of the relevant period. Finally, GEO objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous in that it seeks contracts "originally entered into" by a predecessor contractor. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

## <u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:</u> Please produce the ICE solicitation(s) for all ICE/GEO Group Contracts related to the NWDC from 2005 to present.

- 28 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

27

28

RESPONSE TO NO. 49: GEO objects to the extent that the Request seeks documents not within GEO's possession, custody, or control. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, of ICE solicitations for ICE/Geo Contracts related to the NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018.

12

13

14

15

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50: To the extent not previously produced, please produce the GEO Group's offer(s) and bid(s), and all supporting documents and submissions in support of those offer(s) and bid(s), submitted in response to ICE solicitation(s), or in negotiations related to amendment(s) and renewal(s), of contracts related to the NWDC at any time from 2005 to the present.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RESPONSE TO NO. 50: GEO objects to this request as it seeks documents outside the scope of discovery as defined by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). GEO's offers and bids and supporting documents have no bearing on any claim or defense in the case as GEO's proposals to ICE do not control whether detainees participating in the VWP were employees under the Washington Minimum Wage Act. GEO also objects to the Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of "all supporting documents and submissions in support" of offers and bids does nothing to resolve any issue in the case and any burden on GEO would outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff would receive from the information. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement

- 29 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this

Request as vague and ambiguous as it concerns "contracts related to the NWDC" and is cumulative of other Requests, including but not limited, Requests No. 8 and 49. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show GEO Group's offer(s) and bid(s) submitted in response to ICE solicitation(s) of contracts related to the NWDC at any time from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated objections.

13

14

15

16

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:** Please produce all documents containing financial performance analyses, financial models, or other financial evaluations prepared in connection with or for the purpose of GEO Group's offer(s) and bid(s), and negotiations related to amendment(s) and renewal(s), of contracts related to the NWDC from 2005 present.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**RESPONSE TO NO. 51:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this request as it is wildly overbroad because as written it would seek the analyses, models, or other valuations for any contract, the vast majority of which have no bearing whatsoever on the claims or defenses in this case. For example, the request as written seeks financial analyses, models, or evaluations related to contracts with food distributors, maintenance contracts for the heating and air conditioning, and contracts for IT equipment. None of these are relevant to the claims or

- 30 -

26 27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

21 22

23

24

26 27

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

- 31 -

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:** To the extent not previously produced, please

(excluding Voluntary Work Program); expected and guaranteed occupancy; all other costs of providing services (including food, medical, building operations, etc.); desired margins.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 52:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information

privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this request as

outside the scope of discovery as defined in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) as it requests per diem rate

produce any per diem rate calculations and models related to GEO Group's NWDC

Contract(s) from 2005 to present, including, but not limited to, the following factors: "Voluntary Work Program" costs and expenses; labor costs and payroll expenses

protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

defenses in this case. GEO also objects to this Request overly broad and unduly burdensome as the financial performance analyses, financial models, or other financial evaluations prepared in connection with or for the purpose of GEO Group's offer(s) and bid(s), and negotiations related to amendment(s) and renewal(s), of ICE contracts related to the NWDC also have no bearing on the claims or defenses in this case. None of that information would make it more or less likely that detainees at the NWDC who participated in the VWP are employees under the Washington State Minimum Wage Act. Nor would these analyses, evaluations or assessments impact the actual profit or losses related to the NWDC's VWP program. GEO also objects on the basis that the terms "financial performance analysis," "financial models," and "financial evaluations" as vague and ambiguous. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

19 20

25

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

22

23

24

2526

27

28

calculations and models related to the NWDC's expected and guaranteed occupancy and desired margins. Neither of these categories of documents have any bearing on the claims or defenses in this case nor would they impact any disgorgement calculation. GEO also objects to this Request on the grounds that it is unduly burdensome, as it would require GEO to continuously supplement and produce additional information without a defined end date. GEO objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous as it relates to the undefined "NWDC Contracts," which (as described in GEO's response to Request 51) may encompass myriad contracts having nothing to do with this case. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as it is cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show GEO's per diem rate calculations and models related to the VWP, labor costs and payroll expenses (excluding Voluntary Work Program); all other costs of providing services (including food, medical, building operations, etc.) at NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated objections.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53: To the extent not previously produced, please produce any calculations concerning overhead and other costs allocated to the NWDC Contracts in evaluating profitability and the per diem rates as well as the methodology used to allocate such costs, including any changes in methodology.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 53:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. Furthermore, GEO objects to the

- 32 -

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Request as not proportionate to the needs of the case, as discovery of any calculations concerning
overhead and "other costs allocated to the NWDC contracts" is unreasonably broad and
burdensome when documents sufficient to show such calculations and costs would be reasonable
and complete. GEO objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous as it relates to the undefined
"NWDC Contracts," which (as described in GEO's response to Request 51) may encompass
myriad contracts having nothing to do with this case. Finally, GEO objects to this Request as it is
cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information, including but not limited to
Request No. 52. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will
conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible
paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within
its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents,
to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show
GEO's calculations and models concerning overhead and other costs related to the VWP at
NWDC from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated
objections.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:** To the extent not previously produced, please produce all documents that contain any analyses of the NWDC Contract costs, and categorization of those costs as variable or fixed, during the relevant period and any changes to allocation of costs inbetween categories.

21

22

23

24

25

26

20

**RESPONSE TO NO. 54:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO also objects to this Request to the extent that it seeks analyses of NWDC ICE contract costs that are not relevant to the claims

- 33 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

or defenses in this case. Furthermore, GEO objects to the Request as not proportionate to the
needs of the case, as discovery of "all documents that contain any analyses of the NWDC
Contract costs" places a substantial burden on GEO that would outweigh the negligible benefit
Plaintiff would receive, especially from information unrelated to the VWP at the NWDC. GEO
objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous as it relates to the undefined "NWDC Contracts,"
which (as described in GEO's response to Request No. 51) may encompass myriad contracts
having nothing to do with this case. This request is also unduly because it seeks, among other
information, documents containing "any changes to the allocation of costs inbetween categories,"
which would require an unreasonable and disproportionate amount of analysis to determine
which, if any, documents contained such changes. Finally, GEO objects to the extent this
Request is cumulative of other Requests seeking similar financial information. Subject to and
without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of
documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO
reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and
control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and
subject to the protective order entered in this case, sufficient to show GEO's analysis of costs
related to the VWP in GEO-ICE contracts governing GEO's operation of the NWDC from 2005
to January 5, 2018. GEO will withhold documents subject to the above stated objections.

<u>REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:</u> To the extent not previously produced, please produce any documents or information related to assumptions made in determining the contractually negotiated per diem rate(s) and calculations for the NWDC Contracts.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 55:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest

- 34 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
10	
12	II
13	
13	
13 14	
13 14 15	

privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this request as it
seeks documents outside the scope of discovery as defined by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) because
assumptions made in determining the contractually negotiated per diem rates and calculations in
the GEO ICE NWDC contract have no bearing on whether detainees participating in the VWP
program are employees under the Washington State Minimum Wage Act nor does that
information impact any possible disgorgement calculation. GEO objects to this Request as vague
and ambiguous as it seeks "information related to assumptions made" concerning the undefined
"NWDC Contracts." Finally, GEO objects to the extent this Request is cumulative of other
Requests seeking similar financial information, including but not limited to, Requests No. 52 to
54. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding
responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56: Please produce all audit reports and findings in connection with all internal audits of the NWDC's VWP during the relevant period.

RESPONSE TO NO. 56: GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO objects to this Request as overly broadly and outside of the scope of discovery as it would require production of audit information unrelated to the NWDC's VWP, which is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects to this Request as vague and ambiguous to extent that it also seeks "findings in connection" with internal audits. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive,

- 35 -

27

28

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1	non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in
2	this case, of audit reports and internal audits of the NWDC's VWP from 2005 to January 5, 2018.
3	GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.
4	
5	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57: Please produce all audit reports and findings in connection with all governmental agency audits of the NWDC's VWP during the relevant
6	period.
7	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 57:</b> GEO further objects to this Request as overly broadly and outside of
8	the scope of discovery to the extent it would require production of audit information unrelated to
9 10	the NWDC's VWP, which is not relevant to the claims or defenses in this case. GEO also objects
10	to this Request as vague and ambiguous to the extent that it also seeks "findings in connection"
12	with government agency audits. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections,
13	GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably
14	accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant
15	information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-
16	privileged audit reports of government agency audits of the NWDC's VWP, to the extent they
17 18	exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO
19	is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.
20	
21	REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58: Please produce all detainee worker kites or complaints and all documents, responses, and resolutions to those kites or complaints that
22	relate, in any way, to compensation or failure to pay compensation for work done in the VWP.
23	<b>RESPONSE TO NO. 58:</b> GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information
24	protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest
25	
26	privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO also objects to the Request
27	as overly broad as it seeks "kites or complaints all documents, responses, and resolutions to those STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
28	ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB Andrea L. D'Ambra
D	GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE - 36 - 1301 Avenue of the Americas OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS New York, NY 10019 FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS Telephone: (212) 318-3000

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

kites or complaints that relate, in any way" to detainee compensation, which would lead to the production of documents with no relationship whatsoever to the claims or defenses in the case. For example, a complaint from a former detainee about money in his commissary account not being refunded upon his release or transfer may indeed "relate" to detainee compensation but have no bearing on the claims or defenses in this case. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, of kites and complaints relating to compensation detainees received for their participation in NWDC's VWP from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59: Please produce all detainee worker kites or** complaints regarding the VWP and all documents, responses, and resolutions to those kites or complaints that relate, in any way, to working hours, working conditions, treatment by guards at work, hiring, job assignments, supervision, or termination.

**RESPONSE TO NO. 59:** GEO objects to this Request to the extent that it requests information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the common interest privilege, and/or any other applicable privileges or immunities. GEO also objects to the Request as overly broad as it seeks "kites or complaints that relate, in any way" to a number of topics tangentially related to the VWP, which would lead to the production of documents with no relationship whatsoever to the claims or defenses in the case. For example, kites that request a change in an individual detainee's classification may indeed "relate" to the VWP but have no bearing on the claims or defenses in this case. Subject to and without waiving GEO's above

- 37 -

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

stated objections, GEO will conduct a reasonable search of documents on active electronic systems and reasonably accessible paper storage areas that GEO reasonably believes contain potentially relevant information within its possession, custody, and control, and produce relevant, responsive, non-privileged documents, to the extent they exist and subject to the protective order entered in this case, of kites and complaints relating to detainee experience and participation in NWDC's VWP from 2005 to January 5, 2018. GEO is withholding documents on the basis of the above stated objections.

**REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:** Please produce documents that relate, in any way, to staff recruitment from the local community and the NWDC contribution to the local economy through salaries and purchase of goods and services.

12

**RESPONSE TO NO. 60:** GEO objects to this Request as seeking information outside of the scope of discovery defined in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) as information relating to staff recruitment and the identity of non-detainees performing work outside of the VWP is not relevant to the claims and defenses of the case. In addition, the extent of NWDC's contribution to the local economy through salaries and the purchase of goods and services also does not relate to any claim or defense in this case. None of this information would tend to prove or disprove whether detainees participating in the VWP should be considered employees under the Washington Minimum Wage Act. Furthermore, GEO objects on the grounds that producing the requested documents is unduly burdensome, overly broad, and not proportionate to the needs of the case as the burdens of additional discovery outweigh the negligible benefit Plaintiff will receive from the information. GEO will not be producing documents in response to this Request and is withholding responsive documents subject to the above stated objections.

- 38 -

25

26

27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1	Data de July 12, 2019	NODTON DOCE EU DDICHT HE LLD
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	Dated: July 12, 2018	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$		By <u>/s/Andrea L. D'Ambra</u> Andrea L. D'Ambra
4		1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
5		Telephone: (212) 318-3000 Facsimile: (212) 318-3019
6		andrea.dambra@nortonrosefulbright.com
7		NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP Charles A. Deacon 300 Convent St.
8		San Antonio, Texas 78205
9		Telephone: (210) 270-7133 Facsimile: (210) 270-7205 charlie.deacon@nortonrosefulbright.com
10		NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
11		Mark Emery 799 9th Street NW, Suite 1000
12		Washington, DC 20001-4501 Telephone: (202) 662-0210
13		Facsimile: (202) 662-4643
14		mark.emery@nortonrosefulbright.com
15		III Branches Law PLLC Joan K. Mell, WSBA #21319
16		1019 Regents Blvd. Ste. 204 Fircrest, WA 98466
17		253-566-2510 (p) 281-664-4643 (f) joan@3brancheslaw.com
18		ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
19		THE GEO GROUP, INC.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
28	ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	Andrea L. D'Ambra  1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000
	-	

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I, Sean M. Topping, certify that on July 12, 2018, I caused to be served a true and correct				
3	copy of the above GEO Group's Response to the State of Washington's Second Set of Requests for				
4	Production of Documents, via Electronic Mail as follows:				
5	Office of the Attorney General				
6	Bob Ferguson				
7	Marsha Chien, WSBA No. 47020				
8					
9	Seattle, WA 98104 LaRondB@atg.wa.gov				
10	Andreab3@atg.wa.gov MarshaC@atg.wa.gov				
11	I certify under penalty of perjury under the State of Washington that the above information				
12	is true and correct.				
13	Dated this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of July 2018, at New York, NY				
14	Buted this 12 day of vary 2010, at IVOW TOTK, IVI				
15					
16	/s/ Sean M. Topping				
17	Sean M. Topping				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	STATE OF WASHINGTON v. GEO GROUP NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP				
28	ECF CASE NO. 3:17-cv-05806-RJB GEO GROUP'S RESPONSE TO THE STATE OF WASHINGTON'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS Andrea L. D'Ambra 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 318-3000				

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS